P. J. ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY Faculty of Science Institute of mathematics Jesenná 5, 04001 Košice, Slovakia

## I. Žežula and D. Klein

# Orthogonal decompositions in growth curve models 

IM Preprint, series A, No. 4/2010
March 2010

# Orthogonal decompositions in growth curve models* 

Ivan ŽEŽULA and Daniel KLEIN


#### Abstract

The article shows the advantage of orthogonal decompositions in the standard and extended growth curve models. Using this, distribution of estimators of $\rho$ and $\sigma^{2}$ in the standard GCM with uniform correlation structure is derived. Also, equivalence of Hu and von Rosen conditions in the extended GCM under mild conditions is shown.


## 1 The standard GCM with uniform correlation structure

The basic model we consider is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=X B Z^{\prime}+e, \quad \text { vec } e \sim N\left(0, \Sigma \otimes I_{n}\right), \quad \Sigma=\theta_{1} G+\theta_{2} w w^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{n \times p}$ is a matrix of independent $p$-variate observations, $X_{n \times m}$ is an ANOVA design matrix, $Z_{p \times r}$ is a regression variables matrix, and $e$ matrix of random errors. As for the unknown parameters, $B_{m \times r}$ is an location parameters matrix, and $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ are (scalar) variance parameters. Matrix $G_{p \times p}>0$ and vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are known. The vec operator stacks elements of a matrix into a vector column-wise. This correlation structure is called generalized uniform correlation structure. It was studied in the context of the growth curve model (GCM) in [6], and recently in [4]. A special case was studied also in [3].

While Žežula in [6] used directly model (1), Ye and Wang used modified model with orthogonal decomposition:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y=Y_{1}+Y_{2} \\
Y_{1}=Y G^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{F}=X B Z^{\prime} G^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{F}+e_{1}  \tag{2}\\
Y_{2}=Y G^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{F}=X B Z^{\prime} G^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{F}+e_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]where $F=G^{-\frac{1}{2}} w, P_{F}$ is the orthogonal projection matrix onto the column space $\mathcal{R}(F)$ of $F$, and $M_{F}=I-P_{F}$ onto its orthogonal complement.

Let us denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\frac{1}{n-r(X)} Y^{\prime} M_{X} Y \\
W_{1}=P_{F} G^{-\frac{1}{2}} S G^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{F}, \quad W_{2}=M_{F} G^{-\frac{1}{2}} S G^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{F}
\end{gathered}
$$

The estimators of Žežula are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{1}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(S)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1} w^{\prime} w}{\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(G)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} w^{\prime} w}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1} \operatorname{Tr}(G)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} \operatorname{Tr}(S)}{\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(G)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} w^{\prime} w}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the estimators of Ye and Wang are

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\theta}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(W_{2}\right)}{p-1} & =\frac{w^{\prime} G^{-1} w \cdot \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} S\right)-w^{\prime} G^{-1} S G^{-1} w}{(p-1)\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)} \\
\hat{\theta}_{2}^{*}=\frac{(p-1) \operatorname{Tr}\left(W_{1}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(W_{2}\right)}{(p-1) w^{\prime} G^{-1} w} & =\frac{p \cdot w^{\prime} G^{-1} S G^{-1} w-w^{\prime} G^{-1} w \cdot \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} S\right)}{(p-1)\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

These pairs of estimators are both unbiased, but different. Naturally, we would like to know the variances. Since $S \sim \mathcal{W}_{p}\left(n-r(X), \frac{1}{n-r(X)} \Sigma\right)$, it is easy to establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}(\operatorname{vec} S)=\frac{1}{n-r(X)}\left(I_{p^{2}}+K_{p p}\right)(\Sigma \otimes \Sigma) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{p p}$ is the commutation matrix, see e.g. [5]. This immediately implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{var} \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} S\right)=\frac{2}{n-r(X)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma\right) \\
\operatorname{var}\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} S G^{-1} w\right)=\frac{2}{n-r(X)}\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w\right)^{2}, \\
\operatorname{cov}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} S\right), w^{\prime} G^{-1} S G^{-1} w\right]=\frac{2}{n-r(X)} w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w .
\end{gathered}
$$

Necessary formulas for var $\operatorname{Tr}(S)$, var $\mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1}$, and $\operatorname{cov}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(S), \mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1}\right)$ are special cases. Short computation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{var} \hat{\theta}_{1}=\frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)-2\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} w^{\prime} w \cdot \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \Sigma^{2} \mathbf{1}+\left(w^{\prime} w\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \Sigma \mathbf{1}\right)^{2}}{\left[\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(G)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} w^{\prime} w\right]^{2}}  \tag{6}\\
& \operatorname{var} \hat{\theta}_{2}=\frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{\left[\operatorname{Tr}(G) \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \Sigma \mathbf{1}\right]^{2}-2 \operatorname{Tr}(G) \mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \Sigma^{2} \mathbf{1}+\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)}{\left[\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(G)-\mathbf{1}^{\prime} G \mathbf{1} w^{\prime} w\right]^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{var} \hat{\theta}_{1}^{*}= & \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)^{2}}\left[\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w\right)^{2}-2\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w\right)\right]  \tag{8}\\
\operatorname{var} \hat{\theta}_{2}^{*}= & \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)^{4}}\left[\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+p^{2}\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w\right)^{2}-2 p\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} w\right)\left(w^{\prime} G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} \Sigma G^{-1} w\right)\right] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Analytical comparison of these quantities is quite difficult. Few simulations performed suggest that in general Ye and Wang's estimators tend to have smaller variance.

Very important special case of the previous model is the model with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\sigma^{2}\left[(1-\rho) I_{p}+\rho \mathbf{1 1}^{\prime}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This correlation structure is called the uniform correlation structure or the intraclass correlation structure. It is the case with $G=I_{p}$ and $w=\mathbf{1}$, slightly reparametrized. It must hold

$$
-\frac{1}{p-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 .
$$

As a special case of (1), estimators of $\sigma^{2}$ and $\rho$ can be then obtained by a simple transformation of $\hat{\theta}_{1}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\hat{\theta}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\rho}=\frac{\hat{\theta}_{2}}{\hat{\theta}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies the following form of estimators due to Žežula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{Z}^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(S)}{p}, \quad \hat{\rho}_{Z}=\frac{1}{p-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1}}{\operatorname{Tr}(S)}-1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and due to Ye and Wang:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{Y W}^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)}{p}, \quad \hat{\rho}_{Y W}=1-\frac{p \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)}{(p-1)\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)\right)}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V_{1}=P_{\mathbf{1}} S P_{\mathbf{1}}, \quad V_{2}=M_{\mathbf{1}} S M_{\mathbf{1}} .
$$

Ye and Wang recognized that $\hat{\sigma}_{Z}^{2}=\hat{\sigma}_{Y W}^{2}$, but they failed to recognize that also $\hat{\rho}_{Z}=\hat{\rho}_{Y W}$.

Lemma 1. $\hat{\sigma}_{Z}^{2}=\hat{\sigma}_{Y W}^{2}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{Z}=\hat{\rho}_{Y W}$ for any $Y$.

Proof. Trivially,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}} S P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(S P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(S \mathbf{1 1 ^ { \prime }}\right)=\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{\mathbf{1}} S M_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(S M_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(S)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(S P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(S)-\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}^{\prime} S \mathbf{1}
$$

Substituting these values into (13), we easily get (12).
Thus, in the following we can write only $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ and $\hat{\rho}$.
This orthogonal decomposition is very useful for derivation of the distribution of the estimators.
Lemma 2. Let $H \sim \mathcal{W}_{p}(\ell, \Xi), \Xi>0$, and $T_{k \times p}$ be arbitrary matrix. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T H T^{\prime}\right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{r(T)} \lambda_{i} \chi_{\ell}^{2}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r(T)}$ are all positive eigenvalues of $T \Xi T^{\prime}$ and $r(T)$ is the rank of T. In particular,

$$
\mathrm{E} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T H T^{\prime}\right)=\ell \sum_{i=1}^{r(T)} \lambda_{i}, \quad \operatorname{var} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T H T^{\prime}\right)=2 \ell \sum_{i=1}^{r(T)} \lambda_{i}^{2}
$$

Proof. There must exist independent r.v. $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ distributed as $N_{p}(0, \Xi)$ such that $H=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} X_{i} X_{i}^{\prime}=G^{\prime} G$, where $G^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}\right)$. Then, $T X_{i} \sim$ $N_{k}\left(0, T \Xi T^{\prime}\right) \forall i$ (which may be singular). According to the Theorem in [1] it holds

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T H T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(G T^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(G T^{\prime}\right)\right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{r(T)} \lambda_{i} \chi_{\ell}^{2},
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r(T)}$ are all positive eigenvalues of $T \Xi T^{\prime}$. Since $\chi^{2}$ 's are independent, the claims about mean and variance are trivial.

The following results concerning distributions of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)$ can be found in [4], but without proof. We extend these results to the parameters of interest.

Theorem 3. Distributions of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)$ are independent,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right) \sim \frac{\sigma^{2}[1+(p-1) \rho]}{n-r(X)} \chi_{n-r(X)}^{2}, \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right) \sim \frac{\sigma^{2}(1-\rho)}{n-r(X)} \chi_{(p-1)(n-r(X))}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}^{2} \sim & \frac{\sigma^{2}}{p(n-r(X))}\left[(1+(p-1) \rho) \chi_{n-r(X)}^{2}+(1-\rho) \chi_{(p-1)(n-r(X))}^{2}\right], \\
& \frac{1-\rho}{1+(p-1) \rho}\left[\frac{1+(p-1) \hat{\rho}}{1-\hat{\rho}}\right] \sim F_{n-r(X),(p-1)(n-r(X))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is well known that under normality

$$
S \sim \mathcal{W}_{p}\left(n-r(X), \frac{1}{n-r(X)} \Sigma\right)
$$

(see e.g. Theorem 3.8 in [5]). We want to make use of Lemma 2 with $\ell=n-r(X)$, $\Xi=\frac{1}{n-r(X)} \Sigma, T=P_{\mathbf{1}}$, and also with $T=M_{1}$. Since

$$
P_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n-r(X)} \Sigma\right) P_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}[1+(p-1) \rho]}{n-r(X)} P_{\mathbf{1}}
$$

is a multiple of idempotent matrix of rank 1 , its only positive eigenvalue is equal to $\sigma^{2}[1+(p-1) \rho] /(n-r(X))$. Similarly, since $M_{1}$ is idempotent with rank $p-1$ and

$$
M_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n-r(X)} \Sigma\right) M_{1}=\frac{\sigma^{2}(1-\rho)}{n-r(X)} M_{1}
$$

it has $p-1$ positive eigenvalues which are all equal to $\sigma^{2}(1-\rho) /(n-r(X))$. Now the results for $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)$ follow from Lemma 2, perpendicularity of $M_{1}$ and $P_{1}$, and properties of $\chi^{2}$-distribution.

This, together with (13), immediately implies the result for $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$. The second formula in (13) can be transformed to

$$
\frac{1+(p-1) \hat{\rho}}{1-\hat{\rho}}=\frac{(p-1) \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)}
$$

Because the distributions of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)$ are independent, clearly

$$
\frac{\sigma^{2}(1-\rho)(n-r(X))}{\sigma^{2}[1+(p-1) \rho](n-r(X))} \cdot \frac{(p-1) \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{1}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2}\right)} \sim F_{n-r(X),(p-1)(n-r(X))} .
$$

This result is not very useful with respect to $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$, since its distribution depends on both $\sigma^{2}$ and $\rho$, but enables us to test for any specific value of $\rho$. Using simple
transformation, we can even derive directly probability density function of $\hat{\rho}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \left(\frac{1-\rho}{(p-1)[1+(p-1) \rho]}\right)^{\frac{n-r(X)}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(n-r(X))}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-r(X)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{(p-1)(n-r(X))}{2}\right)} \times \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{1-\rho}{(p-1)[1+(p-1) \rho]} \frac{1+(p-1) x}{1-x}\right)^{-\frac{p(n-r(X))}{2}} \times \\
& \times\left(\frac{1+(p-1) x}{1-x}\right)^{\frac{n-r(X)}{2}-1} \frac{p}{(1-x)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for $\rho$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-c_{1}}{1+(p-1) c_{1}} ; \frac{1-c_{2}}{1+(p-1) c_{2}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1-\hat{\rho}}{1+(p-1) \hat{\rho}} F_{n-r(X),(p-1)(n-r(X))}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
c_{2}=\frac{1-\hat{\rho}}{1+(p-1) \hat{\rho}} F_{n-r(X),(p-1)(n-r(X))}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)
$$

Figures 1-4 below show histograms and theoretical densities of $\hat{\rho}$ for a special case of the model (quadratic growth in three groups, 2500 simulations) for various true values of unknown parameter.

Example: Let us consider random sample from bivariate normal distribution with the same variances in both dimensions. It can be formally written as GCM with the uniform correlation structure:

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
Y_{n 1} & Y_{n 2}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{n}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) I_{2}+e, \quad e \sim N_{n \times 2}\left(0_{n \times 2}, \sigma^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \rho \\
\rho & 1
\end{array}\right) \otimes I_{n}\right)
$$

Using the above mentioned estimator we get

$$
\hat{\rho}=\frac{2 s_{12}}{s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}
$$

where $s_{12}$ is sample covariance of the two variables, and $s_{1}^{2}$ and $s_{2}^{2}$ sample variances. This estimator is slightly more effective than standard sample correlation coefficient (in the sense of MSE).


Figure 1. $\rho=-0,2$


Figure 3. $\rho=0,5$


Figure 2. $\rho=0$


Figure 4. $\rho=0,95$

## 2 The extended growth curve model

The extended growth curve model (ECGM) with fixed effects, called also sum-of-profiles model, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} B_{i} Z_{i}^{\prime}+e, \quad e \sim N_{n \times p}\left(0, \Sigma \otimes I_{n}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensions of matrices $X_{i}, B_{i}$, and $Z_{i}$ are $n \times m_{i}, m_{i} \times r_{i}, p \times r_{i}$, respectively. Usually it is supposed that column spaces of $X_{i}$ 's are ordered,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}\left(X_{k}\right) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(X_{1}\right), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

while nothing is said about different $Z_{i}$ 's. Recently, Hu (see [2]) came up with modification of the model, assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}^{\prime} X_{j}=0 \quad \forall i \neq j \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

His idea is to separate groups rather then models. We will show that the two models are under certain conditions equivalent.

Example: Let us consider EGCM with two groups with different growth patterns - linear and quadratic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j} & =\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} t_{j}+e_{i j}, & & i=1, \ldots, n_{1}, j=1, \ldots, p, \\
& =\beta_{3}+\beta_{4} t_{j}+\beta_{5} t_{j}^{2}+e_{i j}, & & i=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}, j=1, \ldots, p .
\end{aligned}
$$

This model can be written as

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1}_{n_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} \\
\beta_{3} & \beta_{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \ldots & 1 \\
t_{1} & \ldots & t_{p}
\end{array}\right)+\binom{0}{\mathbf{1}_{n_{2}}} \beta_{5}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
t_{1}^{2} & \ldots & t_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+e
$$

or, by the new way, as

$$
Y=\binom{\mathbf{1}_{n_{1}}}{0}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \ldots & 1 \\
t_{1} & \ldots & t_{p}
\end{array}\right)+\binom{0}{\mathbf{1}_{n_{2}}}\left(\beta_{3}, \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \ldots & 1 \\
t_{1} & \ldots & t_{p} \\
t_{1}^{2} & \ldots & t_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+e
$$

Note that in the second form in the previous example $\mathcal{R}\left(Z_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. This leads to idea that we can consider a model in which the column spaces of all $Z_{i}$ 's are nested, which naturally arises in situations when different groups use polynomial regression functions of different order.

Let us consider model (15) with condition (16), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-p \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} r\left(X_{i}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{i}$ 's are 0-1 matrices whose columns are indicators of different groups, w.l.o.g. we can assume that all columns of $X_{1}$ are mutually perpendicular, and columns of every $X_{i+1}$ are a subset of columns of $X_{i}$. Let us define $X_{k}^{*}=X_{k}$ and $X_{i}^{*}=X_{i} \backslash X_{i+1}, i=1, \ldots, k-1$, where the symbol $X_{i} \backslash X_{i+1}$ denotes matrix consisting of those columns of $X_{i}$ which are not in $X_{i+1}$. It is easy to see, that $X_{i}=\left(X_{i}^{*}, \ldots, X_{k}^{*}\right)$ and $P_{X_{i}}-P_{X_{i+1}}=P_{X_{i+1}^{\perp} \cap X_{i}}=P_{X_{i}^{*}}$.

Then, we can reformulate the model (15) with von Rosen's condition (16) in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} Y & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} B_{i} Z_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(X_{i}^{*}, \ldots, X_{k}^{*}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{i i}^{*} \\
\vdots \\
B_{i k}^{*}
\end{array}\right) Z_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=i}^{k} X_{j}^{*} B_{i j}^{*} Z_{i}^{\prime}= \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{j}^{*} B_{i j}^{*} Z_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}^{*}\left(B_{1 j}^{*}, \ldots, B_{j j}^{*}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
Z_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}^{*} B_{j}^{*} Z_{j}^{* \prime} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

(matrices $X_{j}^{*}$ have dimensions $n \times m_{j}^{*}$ and $B_{i j}^{*} m_{j}^{*} \times r_{i}$, where $m_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{k} m_{j}^{*}$.) It is now easy to see that model (19) satisfies Hu's condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}^{* \prime} X_{j}^{*}=0 \quad \forall i \neq j \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, now we have

$$
Z_{i}^{*}=\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{i}\right), \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, k
$$

which implies $\mathcal{R}\left(Z_{1}^{*}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{k}^{*}\right)$.
ECGM with Hu's condition is much easier to handle. If all $X_{i}^{* ' s}$ and $Z_{i}^{*}$ 's are of full rank, then all $B_{i}^{*}$ 's are estimable, and unbiased LSE $\hat{B}_{i}^{*}$ depend only on $X_{i}^{*}$ and $Z_{i}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}_{i}^{*}=\left(X_{i}^{* \prime} X_{i}^{*}\right)^{-1} X_{i}^{* \prime} Y \Sigma^{-1} Z_{i}^{*}\left(Z_{i}^{* \prime} \Sigma^{-1} Z_{i}^{*}\right)^{-1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [2]. Such a closed form was difficult to obtain in the von Rosen model. Even for two components the estimators are rather complicated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}_{1}= & \left(X_{1}{ }^{\prime} X_{1}\right)^{-1} X_{1}{ }^{\prime} Y \Sigma^{-1} Z_{1}\left(Z_{1}{ }^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1} Z_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& -\left(X_{1}{ }^{\prime} X_{1}\right)^{-1} X_{1}{ }^{\prime} P_{X_{2}} Y\left(P_{Z_{2}}^{\Sigma^{-1} M_{Z_{1}}^{\Sigma^{-1}}}\right)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1} Z_{1}\left(Z_{1}{ }^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1} Z_{1}\right)^{-1}, \\
\hat{B}_{2}= & \left(X_{2}{ }^{\prime} X_{2}\right)^{-1} X_{2}{ }^{\prime} Y \Sigma^{-1} Z_{2}\left(Z_{2}{ }^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1} M_{Z_{1}}^{\Sigma^{-1}} Z_{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

see [7]. Each $\hat{B}_{1}$ and $\hat{B}_{2}$ depends on both $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$, and $\hat{B}_{1}$ even on $X_{2}$.
Estimator of common variance matrix can be split into perpendicular pieces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n-r\left(X_{1}\right)} Y^{\prime} M_{X_{1}} Y=\frac{1}{n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} r\left(X_{i}^{*}\right)} Y^{\prime}\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{X_{i}^{*}}\right) Y . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last expression, the left-hand side term is the estimator using von Rosen's model and right-hand side one using Hu's model. It is easy to see, that the estimators are equivalent, since $X_{1}=\left(X_{1}^{*}, \ldots, X_{k}^{*}\right)$.

The situation in Hu's model is much easier also for a special correlation structure $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} R$ with $R$ known. The unbiased estimator of residual variance $\sigma^{2}$ is

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} r\left(X_{i}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{Z_{i}}^{R^{-1}} R\right)} \operatorname{Tr}\left((Y-\hat{Y})^{\prime}(Y-\hat{Y})\right),
$$

where $\hat{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{X_{i}} Y\left(P_{Z_{i}}^{\Sigma^{-1}}\right)^{\prime}$ is the unbiased estimator of $\mathrm{E} Y$.

## 3 Conclusions

Method of orthogonal decomposition is very promising in complex models. Many tasks, which are very difficult or impossible to handle in basic models, can be done with ease in models consisting of mutually orthogonal components. As it is shown above, simple transformation can change a model into an equivalent which allows to determine explicit forms of estimators and/or their distribution. We hope the method will prove even more useful in the future, whether in the models investigated here or in some others.
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